Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41657 TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage RN104215330 Docket No. 2011-0761-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Park In Beverage, 5014 South State Highway 91, Denison, Grayson County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: September 23, 2011

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$5,129

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,025 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$254 Total Due to General Revenue: \$3,850

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$110 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average

Site/RN - Average by Default

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41657 TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage RN104215330 Docket No. 2011-0761-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: May 11, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): May 11, 2011

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to provide corrosion protection to all underground components of the underground storage tank ("UST") system which are designed or used to convey, contain, or store regulated substances [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 2. Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the annual piping tightness test was not conducted [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent successfully conducted the annual piping tightness test on June 20, 2011.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days, install corrosion protection to all underground components of the UST system and test the system to ensure that corrosion protection system is functioning properly; and
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Judy Kluge, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC R-04, (817) 588-5825; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0412

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41657 TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage RN104215330 Docket No. 2011-0761-PST-E

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Long T. Ly, Vice President, TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC., 14642

Turnbridge Drive, Frisco, Texas 75035-4826

Sopheap Heng Hayes, President, TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC., 14642

Turnbridge Drive, Frisco, Texas 75035-4826

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 DATES Assigned 16-May-2011 PCW 23-May-2011 Screening 18-May-2011 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN104215330 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 4-Dallas/Fort Worth CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 2 Enf./Case ID No. 41657 Order Type 1660 Docket No. 2011-0761-PST-E Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Judy Kluge EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$5,000 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0 No adjustment for compliance history. Notes Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 Subtotal 6 \$0 0.0% Enhancement* **Economic Benefit** Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$446 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$5,000 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$129 2.6% OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance Notes associated with violation no. 2.

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

20.0%

\$5,129

\$5,129

-\$1,025

\$4,104

Screening Date 18-May-2011

Docket No. 2011-0761-PST-E

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Respondent TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage

Case ID No. 41657

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104215330

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Judy Kluge

	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in	0	0%
NOVs	the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)		
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	Ö	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non- adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		ase Enter Yes or No	r
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program.	No	0%
oui.c.	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
eat Violator (Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
npliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	rformer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
npliance Histo	ry Summary		1
Compliance History	No adjustment for compliance history.		

Screening Date		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage 41657	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN104215330	
Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Violation Number	5007 Mag2	
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475	(d)
Violation Description	Failed to provide corrosion protection to all underground components underground storage tank ("UST") system which are designed or used to contain, or store regulated substances.	
	Base	Penalty \$10,000
S. E. S. S. S. S. S. B. D. S. S. S.	ty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
Release OR Actual	Major Moderate Minor	
Potential	x Percent 25%	=
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	
	Percent 0%	suu aaabaaan firanda firan interestä aabatuuran 18
Matrix Human health	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exc	eed levels
Notes that are pr	otective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the vio	ation.
Annual control of the		
	Adjustment	\$7,500
		\$2,500
Violation Events		
Number of V	violation Events 7 Number of violation of	days
: : :		
	daily weekly	,
mark only one	monthly x Violation Base	Penalty \$2,500
with an x	quarterly Violation Base semiannual	#2,500
	annual	
	single event	
One monthly	event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the	May 11,
	2011 record review to the May 18, 2011 screening date.	
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary (mark with x)	
	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes this violation.	
	Violation	Subtotal \$2,500
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit	Test
	ed EB Amount \$316 Violation Final Pena	
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	
	Tills Fictation : Till Assessed : Citate, (adjusted to	1-,555

		conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet :		
Respondent	TEXAS UNITE	D ENTERPRISE, IN	IC. dba Park In	Bevera	ge		
Case ID No.	41657						
ea. Ent. Reference No.		<u> </u>		t::		1000 1100 1100	
	Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.						5.0	15
		Date Required	Final Date	TIS	Interest Saveo	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
			2020-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00			eses	
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	\$6,000	11-May-2011	10-Feb-2012	0.75	\$15	\$301	\$316
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
				0.00	\$0	l n/a l	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		<u> </u>					
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs		to ensure that the	corrosion prote	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s	\$0 \$0 nderground compo ystem is functionli	n/a	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da	\$0 \$0 nderground compo ystem is functioni te is the expected	n/a n/a nents of the UST sy ng properly. The da	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da	\$0 \$0 nderground compo ystem is functioni te is the expected	n/a n/a nents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da	\$0 \$0 anderground compo ystem is functioning te is the expected og item (except i	n/a n/a n/a nents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance. for one-time avoid	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is led costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da enterin	\$0 \$0 nderground compo ystem is functioning te is the expected ig item (except in \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a nnents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is led costs)
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 iderground compo ystem is functionia te is the expected ig item (except is \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a nnents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is led costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 aderground compo ystem is functioning te is the expected in item (except to the solution of the solution	n/a n/a n/a nnents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 nderground composite is the expected gitem (except in \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a nnents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 aderground compo ystem is functioning te is the expected in item (except to the solution of the solution	n/a n/a n/a nnents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 nderground composite is the expected gitem (except in \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a nnents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 nderground composite is the expected gitem (except in \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a nn/a nnents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	the system t	to ensure that the the record reviev	corrosion prote v date and the f	0.00 0.00 o all ur ction s inal da enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 nderground composite is the expected gitem (except in \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a nn/a nnents of the UST sy ng properly. The da date of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 stem and test te required is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		PCW
kesponden Case ID No	88	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No		TOTAL TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE
	Petroleum Storage Tank	200
Enf. Coordinato		
Violation Numbe Rule Cite(s		
	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)
	Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the US	T-2000000
Violation Description	Specifically, the annual piping tightness test was not conducted.	***************************************
		gar in Addadd Anna 1 1 in Anna Sangara India 4 in Anna Sangara India 1 in Anna Sangara India 1 in Anna Sangara India 1 in Anna Sangara India
	Base	Penalty \$10,000
> Environmental Brone	rty and Human Health Matrix	
~ Environmental, Frope	Harm	. et
Release		
OR Actual Potentia		
	1 Cresic 25 /0	
>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	
<u> </u>	Tercent minutes and management of the control of th	f switz
Matrix Human hoali	h or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would excee	
######################################	protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violat	da sisis sa sit s s saasi 🗎
	Adjustment	\$7,500
		+2.500
		\$2,500
olation Events		
Number of	Violation Events 1 314 Number of violation da	we :
walliber of	Total of Tot	,,,
	daily	
	weekly	.15
mark only one with an x	quarterly Violation Base I	Penalty \$2,500
widi ali x	semiannual	
	annual x	
	single event	
One annu	al event is recommended for the period preceding the May 11, 2011 record rev	iew.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ood Faith Efforts to Con	ply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ordinary	
	N/A x (mark with x)	
	The Respondent came into compliance on June 20, 2011,	
	Notes After the proposed order mailout date of June 17, 2011. Therefore, the Respondent does not meet the good faith	
	criteria for this violation.	
		,
	Violation St	ubtotal \$2,500
onomic Benefit (EB) fo	this violation Statutory Limit T	est
Estima	ted EB Amount \$129 Violation Final Penalt	y Total \$2,565
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$2,565

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	TEXAS UNITED	DENTERPRISE, IN	IC. dba Park In	Bevera	ige		
Case ID No.	41657						
a. Ent. Reference No.		· !				and the second second	
	Petroleum Sto				·		Years of
Violation No.		-			and the second	Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation IVO.	2					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs				_			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	50
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)		II		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterii	ng item (except i	for one-time avoid	led costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
pection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$118	1-Jul-2010	20-Jun-2011	1.89	\$11	\$118	\$129
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimate			*********	tightness test. That date is the comp	ne date required is t liance date.	he date of

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN603605981

TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC.

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 3.01

Regulated Entity:

RN104215330

Park In Beverage

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 3.01

BY DEFAULT

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK

REGISTRATION

46501

Location:

5014 S STATE HIGHWAY 91, DENISON, TX, 75020

TCEQ Region:

REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX

Date Compliance History Prepared:

May 18, 2011

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period:

May 18, 2006 to May 18, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Judy Kluge

Phone:

(817) 588-5825

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

Yes

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? 3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator?

TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC.

4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

GF Partners, Ltd.

When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

07/01/2010

Rating Date: 9/1/2010

Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government. A.

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. B.

N/A

Chronic excessive emissions events. C.

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

05/11/2011(890690)

Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) E.

N/A

Environmental audits.

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. H.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE,	§	
INC. DBA PARK IN BEVERAGE	§	
RN104215330	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2011-0761-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 5014 South State Highway 91 in Denison, Grayson County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 16, 2011.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Five Thousand One Hundred Twenty-Nine Dollars (\$5,129) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in

Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Hundred Fifty-Four Dollars (\$254) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Twenty-Five Dollars (\$1,025) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Three Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$3,850) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Ten Dollars (\$110) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent successfully conducted the annual piping tightness test on June 20, 2011.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to provide corrosion protection to all underground components of the UST system which are designed or used to convey, contain, or store regulated substances, in violation

TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage DOCKET NO. 2011-0761-PST-E Page 3

of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during a record review conducted on May 11, 2011.

2. Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a), as documented during a record review conducted on May 11, 2011. Specifically, the annual piping tightness test was not conducted.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage, Docket No. 2011-0761-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, install corrosion protection to all underground components of the UST system and test the system to ensure that corrosion protection system is functioning properly, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and

TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage DOCKET NO. 2011-0761-PST-E Page 4

complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2309 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or

TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage DOCKET NO. 2011-0761-PST-E Page 5

otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage DOCKET NO. 2011-0761-PST-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

For the Executive Director	10/6/11 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the at agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein accepting payment for the penalty amount, is material	entity indicated below my signature, and I a. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
 I also understand that failure to comply with the and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications subsectional of this case to the Attorney General additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to the Increased penalties in any future enforcement Automatic referral to the Attorney General's and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law 	result in: omitted; ol's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, o a collection agency; actions; Office of any future enforcement actions;
In addition, any falsification of any compliance docum	
Muly	8-8-2011
Signature	Date
Long T. Ly	V-A
Name (Printed or typed)	Title
Authorized Representative of	

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

TEXAS UNITED ENTERPRISE, INC. dba Park In Beverage